

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

IN RE APPLICATION OF

Art Unit: 1626

WALTER ET AL.

Examiner: GRAZIER, NYEEMAH

APPLN. NO: 10/680,346

Conf. No.: 5652

FILED: OCTOBER 7, 2003

FOR: PYRROLECARBOXAMIDES AND PYRROLETHIOAMIDES
AS FUNGICIDESACTION: **COMMUNICATION**

DATE: May 30, 2006

Sir:

COMMUNICATION

The present Communication responds to the May 12, 2006 Office communication wherein the Examiner acknowledged Applicants' previous response dated February 2, 2006, but stated that no amendments were entered because Applicants' response did not comply with 37 C.F.R. 1.312. The present Communication is being submitted simply to clarify the record. Specifically, while Applicants' February 2, 2006 response included an "Amendments to the Claims" section, Applicants did not amend any of the claims, but rather re-presented the allowed claims. Further, Applicants filed a statement of the substance of the interview in the "Remarks" section of the previous response pursuant to the Interview Summary, which was understood to require a formal written reply relating thereto.

If any questions remain to be addressed, it is respectfully requested that the undersigned representative for the Applicants be contacted at the number listed below

Respectfully submitted,

USPTO Customer No. 26748
Syngenta Crop Protection, Inc.
Patent and Trademark Dept.
410 Swing Road
Greensboro, NC 27409
(336) 632-6049

/Rebecca A. Gegick/
Rebecca A. Gegick
Attorney for Applicants
Reg. No. 51,724

Date: May 30, 2006